



**Auditing and Assurance Standards Council**

---

**Philippine Standard on Auditing 230 (Revised)**

**AUDIT DOCUMENTATION**

*Conforming Amendments*

**PSA 200, Objective and General Principles Governing an Audit of Financial Statements**

**PSA 330, The Auditor's Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks**

**PSQC 1, Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements**

**PHILIPPINE STANDARD ON AUDITING 230 (REVISED)  
AUDIT DOCUMENTATION**

(Effective for audits of financial information for  
periods beginning on or after June 15, 2006)

**CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Paragraph</u></b>
Introduction .....	1-5
Definitions .....	6
Nature of Audit Documentation .....	7-8
Form, Content and Extent of Audit Documentation .....	9-24
Documentation of the Identifying Characteristics of Specific Items or Matters Being Tested .....	12-13
Significant Matters .....	14-19
Documentation of Departures from Basic Principles or Essential Procedures .....	20-22
Identification of Preparer and Reviewer .....	23-24
Assembly of the Final Audit File .....	25-30
Changes to Audit Documentation in Exceptional Circumstances after the Date of the Auditor's Report .....	31-32
Effective Date .....	33-34
Acknowledgment .....	35-36

Appendix: Specific Audit Documentation Requirements and Guidance in Other PSAs

Philippine Standard on Auditing (PSA) 230 (Revised), “Audit Documentation,” should be read in the context of the “Preface to the Philippine Standards on Quality Control, Auditing, Assurance and Related Services,” which sets out the application and authority of PSAs.

## **Audit Documentation**

### **Introduction**

1. The purpose of this Philippine Standard on Auditing (PSA) is to establish standards and provide guidance on audit documentation. The Appendix lists other PSAs containing subject matter-specific documentation requirements and guidance. Laws or regulations may establish additional documentation requirements.
2. **The auditor should prepare, on a timely basis, audit documentation that provides:**
  - (a) **A sufficient and appropriate record of the basis for the auditor's report; and**
  - (b) **Evidence that the audit was performed in accordance with PSAs and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.**
3. Preparing sufficient and appropriate audit documentation on a timely basis helps to enhance the quality of the audit and facilitates the effective review and evaluation of the audit evidence obtained and conclusions reached before the auditor's report is finalized. Documentation prepared at the time the work is performed is likely to be more accurate than documentation prepared subsequently.
4. Compliance with the requirements of this PSA together with the specific documentation requirements of other relevant PSAs is ordinarily sufficient to achieve the objectives in paragraph 2.
5. In addition to these objectives, audit documentation serves a number of purposes, including:
  - (a) Assisting the audit team to plan and perform the audit;
  - (b) Assisting members of the audit team responsible for supervision to direct and supervise the audit work, and to discharge their review responsibilities in accordance with PSA 220 (Revised), "Quality Control for Audits of Historical Financial Information;"
  - (c) Enabling the audit team to be accountable for its work;
  - (d) Retaining a record of matters of continuing significance to future audits;

- (e) Enabling an experienced auditor to conduct quality control reviews and inspections<sup>1</sup> in accordance with PSQC 1, “Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements;” and
- (f) Enabling an experienced auditor to conduct external inspections in accordance with applicable legal, regulatory or other requirements.

## **Definitions**

6. In this PSA:

- (a) “Audit documentation” means the record of audit procedures performed,<sup>2</sup> relevant audit evidence obtained, and conclusions the auditor reached (terms such as “working papers” or “workpapers” are also sometimes used); and
- (b) “Experienced auditor” means an individual (whether internal or external to the firm) who has a reasonable understanding of (i) audit processes, (ii) PSAs and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) the business environment in which the entity operates, and (iv) auditing and financial reporting issues relevant to the entity’s industry.

## **Nature of Audit Documentation**

- 7. Audit documentation may be recorded on paper or on electronic or other media. It includes, for example, audit programs, analyses, issues memoranda, summaries of significant matters, letters of confirmation and representation, checklists, and correspondence (including e-mail) concerning significant matters. Abstracts or copies of the entity’s records, for example, significant and specific contracts and agreements, may be included as part of audit documentation if considered appropriate. Audit documentation, however, is not a substitute for the entity’s accounting records. The audit documentation for a specific audit engagement is assembled in an audit file.
- 8. The auditor ordinarily excludes from audit documentation superseded drafts of working papers and financial statements, notes that reflect incomplete or preliminary thinking, previous copies of documents corrected for typographical or other errors, and duplicates of documents.

---

<sup>1</sup> As defined in PSA 220 (Revised).

<sup>2</sup> Audit procedures performed include audit planning, as addressed in PSA 300, “Planning an Audit of Financial Statements.”

### **Form, Content and Extent of Audit Documentation**

9. The auditor should prepare the audit documentation so as to enable an experienced auditor, having no previous connection with the audit, to understand:
  - (a) The nature, timing, and extent of the audit procedures performed to comply with PSAs and applicable legal and regulatory requirements;
  - (b) The results of the audit procedures and the audit evidence obtained; and
  - (c) Significant matters arising during the audit and the conclusions reached thereon.
  
10. The form, content and extent of audit documentation depend on factors such as:
  - The nature of the audit procedures to be performed;
  - The identified risks of material misstatement;
  - The extent of judgment required in performing the work and evaluating the results;
  - The significance of the audit evidence obtained;
  - The nature and extent of exceptions identified;
  - The need to document a conclusion or the basis for a conclusion not readily determinable from the documentation of the work performed or audit evidence obtained; and
  - The audit methodology and tools used.

It is, however, neither necessary nor practicable to document every matter the auditor considers during the audit.
  
11. Oral explanations by the auditor, on their own, do not represent adequate support for the work the auditor performed or conclusions the auditor reached, but may be used to explain or clarify information contained in the audit documentation.

**Documentation of the Identifying Characteristics of Specific Items or Matters Being Tested**

12. In documenting the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures performed, the auditor should record the identifying characteristics of the specific items or matters being tested.
  
13. Recording the identifying characteristics serves a number of purposes. For example, it enables the audit team to be accountable for its work and facilitates the investigation of exceptions or inconsistencies. Identifying characteristics will vary with the nature of the audit procedure and the item or matter being tested. For example:
  - For a detailed test of entity-generated purchase orders, the auditor may identify the documents selected for testing by their dates and unique purchase order numbers.
  
  - For a procedure requiring selection or review of all items over a specific amount from a given population, the auditor may record the scope of the procedure and identify the population (for example, all journal entries over a specified amount from the journal register).
  
  - For a procedure requiring systematic sampling from a population of documents, the auditor may identify the documents selected by recording their source, the starting point and the sampling interval (for example, a systematic sample of shipping reports selected from the shipping log for the period from April 1 to September 30, starting with report number 12345 and selecting every 125th report).
  
  - For a procedure requiring inquiries of specific entity personnel, the auditor may record the dates of the inquiries and the names and job designations of the entity personnel.
  
  - For an observation procedure, the auditor may record the process or subject matter being observed, the relevant individuals, their respective responsibilities, and where and when the observation was carried out.

### Significant Matters

14. Judging the significance of a matter requires an objective analysis of the facts and circumstances. Significant matters include, amongst others:
  - Matters that give rise to significant risks (as defined in PSA 315, “Understanding the Entity and its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement”).
  - Results of audit procedures indicating (a) that the financial information could be materially misstated, or (b) a need to revise the auditor’s previous assessment of the risks of material misstatement and the auditor’s responses to those risks.
  - Circumstances that cause the auditor significant difficulty in applying necessary audit procedures.
  - Findings that could result in a modification to the auditor’s report.
15. The auditor may consider it helpful to prepare and retain as part of the audit documentation a summary (sometimes known as a completion memorandum) that describes the significant matters identified during the audit and how they were addressed, or that includes cross-references to other relevant supporting audit documentation that provides such information. Such a summary may facilitate effective and efficient reviews and inspections of the audit documentation, particularly for large and complex audits. Further, the preparation of such a summary may assist the auditor’s consideration of the significant matters.
16. The auditor should document discussions of significant matters with management and others on a timely basis.
17. The audit documentation includes records of the significant matters discussed, and when and with whom the discussions took place. It is not limited to records prepared by the auditor but may include other appropriate records such as agreed minutes of meetings prepared by the entity’s personnel. Others with whom the auditor may discuss significant matters include those charged with governance, other personnel within the entity, and external parties, such as persons providing professional advice to the entity.
18. If the auditor has identified information that contradicts or is inconsistent with the auditor’s final conclusion regarding a significant matter, the auditor should document how the auditor addressed the contradiction or inconsistency in forming the final conclusion.



19. The documentation of how the auditor addressed the contradiction or inconsistency, however, does not imply that the auditor needs to retain documentation that is incorrect or superseded.

**Documentation of Departures from Basic Principles or Essential Procedures**

20. The basic principles and essential procedures in PSAs are designed to assist the auditor in meeting the overall objective of the audit. Accordingly, other than in exceptional circumstances, the auditor complies with each basic principle and essential procedure that is relevant in the circumstances of the audit.
21. Where, in exceptional circumstances, the auditor judges it necessary to depart from a basic principle or an essential procedure that is relevant in the circumstances of the audit, the auditor should document how the alternative audit procedures performed achieve the objective of the audit, and, unless otherwise clear, the reasons for the departure. This involves the auditor documenting how the alternative audit procedures performed were sufficient and appropriate to replace that basic principle or essential procedure.
22. The documentation requirement does not apply to basic principles and essential procedures that are not relevant in the circumstances, i.e., where the circumstances envPSAged in the specified basic principle or essential procedure do not apply. For example, in a continuing engagement, nothing in PSA 510, “Initial Engagements—Opening Balances,” is relevant. Similarly, if a PSA includes conditional requirements, they are not relevant if the specified conditions do not exist (for example, the requirement to modify the auditor’s report where there is a limitation of scope).

**Identification of Preparer and Reviewer**

23. **In documenting the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures performed, the auditor should record:**
  - (a) **Who performed the audit work and the date such work was completed; and**
  - (b) Who reviewed the audit work performed and the date and extent of such review.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> Paragraph 26 of PSA 220 (Revised) establishes the requirement for the auditor to review the audit work performed through review of the audit documentation, which involves the auditor documenting the extent and timing of the reviews. Paragraph 25 of PSA 220 (Revised) describes the nature of a review of work performed.

24. The requirement to document who reviewed the audit work performed does not imply a need for each specific working paper to include evidence of review. The audit documentation, however, evidences who reviewed specified elements of the audit work performed and when.

### **Assembly of the Final Audit File**

25. **The auditor should complete the assembly of the final audit file on a timely basis after the date of the auditor's report.**
26. PSQC 1 requires firms to establish policies and procedures for the timely completion of the assembly of audit files. As PSQC 1 indicates, 60 days after the date of the auditor's report is ordinarily an appropriate time limit within which to complete the assembly of the final audit file.
27. The completion of the assembly of the final audit file after the date of the auditor's report is an administrative process that does not involve the performance of new audit procedures or the drawing of new conclusions. Changes may, however, be made to the audit documentation during the final assembly process if they are administrative in nature. Examples of such changes include:
- Deleting or discarding superseded documentation.
  - Sorting, collating and cross-referencing working papers.
  - Signing off on completion checklists relating to the file assembly process.
  - Documenting audit evidence that the auditor has obtained, discussed and agreed with the relevant members of the audit team before the date of the auditor's report.
28. After the assembly of the final audit file has been completed, the auditor should not delete or discard audit documentation before the end of its retention period.
29. PSQC 1 requires firms to establish policies and procedures for the retention of engagement documentation. As PSQC 1 indicates, the retention period for audit engagements ordinarily is no shorter than five years from the date of the auditor's report, or, if later, the date of the group auditor's report.

30. **When the auditor finds it necessary to modify existing audit documentation or add new audit documentation after the assembly of the final audit file has been completed, the auditor should, regardless of the nature of the modifications or additions, document:**
- (a) **When and by whom they were made, and (where applicable) reviewed;**
  - (b) **The specific reasons for making them; and**
  - (c) **Their effect, if any, on the auditor's conclusions.**

**Changes to Audit Documentation in Exceptional Circumstances after the Date of the Auditor's Report**

31. When exceptional circumstances arise after the date of the auditor's report that require the auditor to perform new or additional audit procedures or that lead the auditor to reach new conclusions, the auditor should document:
- (a) The circumstances encountered;
  - (b) The new or additional audit procedures performed, audit evidence obtained, and conclusions reached; and
  - (c) When and by whom the resulting changes to audit documentation were made, and (where applicable) reviewed.
32. Such exceptional circumstances include the discovery of facts regarding the audited financial information that existed at the date of the auditor's report that might have affected the auditor's report had the auditor then been aware of them.

**Effective Date**

33. This PSA is effective for audits of financial information for periods beginning on or after June 15, 2006.
34. PSA 230, "Documentation," approved by the Auditing Standards and Practices Council in 2001 will be withdrawn in June 2006 when PSA 230 (Revised) becomes effective.

**Acknowledgment**

35. This PSA is based on International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 230 (Revised), “Audit Documentation,” issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.
36. There are no significant differences between this PSA and ISA 230 (Revised).

**Appendix**

**Specific Audit Documentation Requirements and Guidance  
in Other PSAs**

The following lists the main paragraphs that contain specific documentation requirements and guidance in other PSAs:

- PSA 210, “Terms of Audit Engagements”–Paragraph 5;
- PSA 220 (Revised), “Quality Control for Audits of Historical Financial Information”–Paragraphs 11–14, 16, 25, 27, 30, 31 and 33;
- PSA 240, “The Auditor’s Responsibility to Consider Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements”–Paragraphs 60 and 107–111;
- PSA 250, “Consideration of Laws and Regulations”–Paragraph 28;
- PSA 260, “Communication of Audit Matters with Those Charged with Governance”–Paragraph 16;
- PSA 300, “Planning an Audit of Financial Statements”–Paragraphs 22-26;
- PSA 315, “Understanding the Entity and its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement”–Paragraphs 122 and 123;
- PSA 330, “The Auditor’s Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks”–Paragraphs 73 and 74;
- PSA 505, “External Confirmations”–Paragraph 33;
- PSA 580, “Management Representations”–Paragraph 10; and
- PSA 600, “Using the Work of Another Auditor”–Paragraph 14.

**Conforming Amendments to PSA 200, PSA 330 and PSQC 1  
as a Result of PSA 230 (Revised)**

**PSA 200, “Objective and General Principles Governing an Audit of  
Financial Statements”**

The following sentences are inserted at the end of paragraph 14:

The auditor may, in exceptional circumstances, judge it necessary to depart from a basic principle or an essential procedure that is relevant in the circumstances of the audit, in order to achieve the objective of the audit. In such a case, the auditor is not precluded from representing compliance with PSAs, provided the departure is appropriately documented as required by PSA 230, “Audit Documentation.”

This amendment is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 15, 2006.

**PSA 330, “The Auditor’s Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks”**

The following paragraphs in PSA 330 are amended as marked:

50. The auditor’s substantive procedures should include the following audit procedures related to the financial statement closing process:

- Agreeing or reconciling the financial statements with the underlying accounting records; and
- Examining material journal entries and other adjustments made during the course of preparing the financial statements.

Deleted: to

The nature and extent of the auditor’s examination of journal entries and other adjustments depends on the nature and complexity of the entity’s financial reporting process and the associated risks of material misstatement.

Conforming Amendments

73. The auditor should document the overall responses to address the assessed risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and the nature, timing, and extent of the further audit procedures, the linkage of those procedures with the assessed risks at the assertion level, and the results of those audit procedures, including the conclusions where these are not otherwise clear. In addition, if the auditor plans to use audit evidence about the operating effectiveness of controls obtained in prior audits, the auditor should document the conclusions reached with regard to relying on such controls that were tested in a prior audit.

**Deleted:** The manner in which these matters are documented is based on the auditor's professional judgment. PSA 230, "Documentation," establishes standards and provides guidance regarding documentation in the context of the audit of financial statements.

The following paragraphs are added to PSA 330:

- 73a. The auditor's documentation should demonstrate that the financial statements agree or reconcile with the underlying accounting records.
- 73b. The manner in which the matters referred to in paragraphs 73 and 73a are documented is based on the auditor's professional judgment. PSA 230 (Revised), "Audit Documentation" establishes standards and provides guidance regarding documentation in the context of the audit of financial statements.

These amendments to PSA 330 are effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after June 15, 2006.

**PSQC 1, "Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements"**

The following definition is added to PSQC 1:

6. (a) "Engagement documentation"—the record of work performed, results obtained, and conclusions the practitioner reached (terms such as "working papers" or "workpapers" are sometimes used). The documentation for a specific engagement is assembled in an engagement file;

Existing subparagraphs (a)-(o) of paragraph 6 will be renumbered accordingly.

Conforming Amendments

The following new subheader and paragraphs are added to PSQC 1 as a subsection within the “Engagement Performance” section, after paragraph 73.

**Engagement Documentation**

*Completion of the Assembly of Final Engagement Files*

- 73a. **The firm should establish policies and procedures for engagement teams to complete the assembly of final engagement files on a timely basis after the engagement reports have been finalized.**
- 73b. Law or regulation may prescribe the time limits by which the assembly of final engagement files for specific types of engagement should be completed. Where no such time limits are prescribed in law or regulation, the firm establishes time limits appropriate to the nature of the engagements that reflect the need to complete the assembly of final engagement files on a timely basis. In the case of an audit, for example, such a time limit is ordinarily not more than 60 days after the date of the auditor’s report.
- 73c. Where two or more different reports are issued in respect of the same subject matter information of an entity, the firm’s policies and procedures relating to time limits for the assembly of final engagement files address each report as if it were for a separate engagement. This may, for example, be the case when the firm issues an auditor’s report on a component’s financial information for group consolidation purposes and, at a subsequent date, an auditor’s report on the same financial information for statutory purposes.

*Confidentiality, Safe Custody, Integrity, Accessibility and Retrievability of Engagement Documentation*

- 73d. The firm should establish policies and procedures designed to maintain the confidentiality, safe custody, integrity, accessibility and retrievability of engagement documentation.
- 73e. Relevant ethical requirements establish an obligation for the firm’s personnel to observe at all times the confidentiality of information contained in engagement documentation, unless specific client authority has been given to disclose information, or there is a legal or professional duty to do so. Specific laws or regulations may impose additional obligations on the firm’s personnel to maintain



Conforming Amendments

client confidentiality, particularly where data of a personal nature are concerned.\*

- 73f. Whether engagement documentation is in paper, electronic or other media, the integrity, accessibility or retrievability of the underlying data may be compromised if the documentation could be altered, added to or deleted without the firm's knowledge, or if it could be permanently lost or damaged. Accordingly, the firm designs and implements appropriate controls for engagement documentation to:
- (a) Enable the determination of when and by whom engagement documentation was created, changed or reviewed;
  - (b) Protect the integrity of the information at all stages of the engagement, especially when the information is shared within the engagement team or transmitted to other parties via the Internet;
  - (c) Prevent unauthorized changes to the engagement documentation; and
  - (d) Allow access to the engagement documentation by the engagement team and other authorized parties as necessary to properly discharge their responsibilities.
- 73g. Controls that the firm may design and implement to maintain the confidentiality, safe custody, integrity, accessibility and retrievability of engagement documentation include, for example:
- The use of a password among engagement team members to restrict access to electronic engagement documentation to authorized users.
  - Appropriate back-up routines for electronic engagement documentation at appropriate stages during the engagement.
  - Procedures for properly distributing engagement documentation to the team members at the start of engagement, processing it during engagement, and collating it at the end of engagement.
  - Procedures for restricting access to, and enabling proper distribution and confidential storage of, hardcopy engagement documentation.

---

\* Paragraph 4.2 of Part A of the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants in the Philippines*, issued by the Philippine Institute of Certified Public Accountants and adopted and implemented by the Board of Accountancy, requires the auditor to observe at all times the confidentiality of information contained in audit documentation, unless specific authority has been given to disclose information, or there is legal or professional duty to do so.

Conforming Amendments

- 73h. For practical reasons, original paper documentation may be electronically scanned for inclusion in engagement files. In that case, the firm implements appropriate procedures requiring engagement teams to:
- (a) Generate scanned copies that reflect the entire content of the original paper documentation, including manual signatures, cross-references and annotations;
  - (b) Integrate the scanned copies into the engagement files, including indexing and signing off on the scanned copies as necessary; and
  - (c) Enable the scanned copies to be retrieved and printed as necessary.

The firm considers whether to retain original paper documentation that has been scanned for legal, regulatory or other reasons.

*Retention of Engagement Documentation*

- 73i. The firm should establish policies and procedures for the retention of engagement documentation for a period sufficient to meet the needs of the firm or as required by law or regulation.
- 73j. The needs of the firm for retention of engagement documentation, and the period of such retention, will vary with the nature of the engagement and the firm's circumstances, for example, whether the engagement documentation is needed to provide a record of matters of continuing significance to future engagements. The retention period may also depend on other factors, such as whether local law or regulation prescribes specific retention periods for certain types of engagements, or whether there are generally accepted retention periods in the jurisdiction in the absence of specific legal or regulatory requirements. In the specific case of audit engagements, the retention period ordinarily is no shorter than five years from the date of the auditor's report, or, if later, the date of the group auditor's report.
- 73k. Procedures that the firm adopts for retention of engagement documentation include those that:
- Enable the retrieval of, and access to, the engagement documentation during the retention period, particularly in the case of electronic documentation since the underlying technology may be upgraded or changed over time.
  - Provide, where necessary, a record of changes made to engagement documentation after the engagement files have been completed.

Conforming Amendments

- Enable authorized external parties to access and review specific engagement documentation for quality control or other purposes.

*Ownership of Engagement Documentation*

731. Unless otherwise specified by law or regulation, engagement documentation is the property of the firm. The firm may, at its discretion, make portions of, or extracts from, engagement documentation available to clients, provided such disclosure does not undermine the validity of the work performed, or, in the case of assurance engagements, the independence of the firm or its personnel.

These amendments to PSQC 1 are effective beginning June 15, 2006.

This PSA 230 (Revised) was unanimously approved for adoption in the Philippines on December 5, 2005 by the members of the Auditing Standards and Practices Council:

Benjamin R. Punongbayan, *Chairman*

Antonio P. Acyatan, *Vice Chairman*

Felicidad A. Abad

David L. Balangue

Eliseo A. Fernandez

Nestorio C. Roraldo

Joaquin P. Tolentino

Editha O. Tuason

Joycelyn J. Villaflores

Horace F. Dumlao

Ester F. Ledesma

Manuel O. Faustino

Erwin Vincent G. Alcala

Froilan G. Ampil

Eugene T. Mateo

Flerida V. Creencia

Roberto G. Manabat